Pre-service teachers' concerns about diversity

Wisuit Sunthonkanokpong

Department of Engineering Education, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Bangkok, Thailand, and Elizabeth Murphy Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Canada

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this study was to investigate pre-service teachers' concerns about including diverse learners in their classrooms. The study identified which concerns they ranked highest and lowest and which types of diversity they were most concerned about. The study also compared results in relation to demographic variables of gender, year and major.

Design/methodology/approach – Data collection relied on the Concerns about Inclusive Education Scale administered online with 343 pre-service teachers enrolled in higher education in Thailand. Analysis aimed to identify what were the highest categories of concerns as well as any significant relationships between concerns and demographic variables of gender, year and major. Analysis also identified the types of diversity about which pre-service teachers were most concerned along with any significant relationships between types of diversity and gender, year and major.

Findings – Results revealed that pre-service teachers ranked lack of resources as their highest concern about teaching diverse learners. Analysis revealed a significant difference for gender with females (p = 0.014) having a significantly higher level of concern about lack of resources than males. Mental health disabilities along with physical and learning disabilities were ranked highest in terms of types of diversity about which they were most concerned. There were no statistically significant differences for demographics regarding type of diversity about which teachers were most concerned.

Originality/value – There is a lack of research related to higher education's role in preparing teachers to teach in contexts of diversity. This study goes beyond traditional definitions to include 12 types of diversity.

Keywords Pre-service teachers, Concerns, Diversity, Race, Disability, Sustainable Development Goals, Higher education, Mental health

Paper type Research paper

Introduction

The construct of diversity has garnered attention in the past decade, in particular, due to increases in global migration. This migration has led to the emergence of societies characterised by cultural, ethnic and religious diversity. The construct has also garnered attention through its association with social sustainability. This is because, in order for societies to be socially sustainable, there must be participation by and inclusion of various (diverse) individuals and groups (Murphy, 2012). Promotion of social sustainability requires conditions for human welfare, especially for vulnerable persons or groups (Hollander *et al.*, 2016). Diversity can be conceptualised as one of five principles that contribute to the liveability and health of communities. These are diversity, equity, interconnectedness, quality of life and democracy and governance (Barron and Gauntlett, 2002). For example, cultural diversity can help societies move towards "sustainable futures" because this diversity represents a "rich source of innovation, human experience and knowledge exchange" (Tilbury and Mulà, 2009, p. 2). Diversity refers to both observable and non-observable personal characteristics (Aleander, 2011). These characteristics can be related to



Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education © Emerald Publishing Limited 2050-7003 DOI 10.1108/JARHE-06-2020-0193

Concerns about diversity

Received 29 June 2020 Revised 31 August 2020 Accepted 27 September 2020

Funding: This study was supported by King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang Research Fund, grant number, KREF206206.

JARHE

abilities, skills, knowledge, personality and to socio-economic (UNESCO, 2004, p. 56), cultural (Lo Bianco, 2016) and linguistic (Liu and Nelson, 2017) background, sexual orientation (Gale and Ward, 2018), race (Haring-Smith, 2012), religion (Aleander, 2011), ethnic origin (Maruyama *et al.*, 2000) or age (Bartolo and Smyth, 2009). Gender (e.g. Peixoto *et al.*, 2018) is another characteristic that is frequently cited in relation to diversity and social sustainability. Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 5 focusses on gender equality.

In educational contexts, diversity may be conceptualised in terms of a disability, for example, related to mental health (Konur, 2006), learning (Aragon and Hoskins, 2017) or physical characteristics (Lau *et al.*, 2018). Increasing globalization and mobility have drawn attention to the importance of diversity in educational contexts (Burner *et al.*, 2018) in particular in relation to linguistic and cultural diversity becoming increasingly common in schools (Tran *et al.*, 2018). In fact, teachers have a responsibility to contribute to social sustainability, future social change and improvements in the social quality of life (Mandolini, 2007). In general, education has an important role to play in developing societies that are sustainable and tolerant (UNESCO, 2006). SDG 4 specifically calls on education to promote inclusion, quality and equity (UNESCO, 2017). Social exclusion, as opposed to inclusion in education, undermines social sustainability and it is the teacher's frame of mind that can determine if individuals are excluded or not (Gedžūne, 2015). Inclusion refers to providing opportunities for full and effective contributions (Roberson, 2004) from diverse individuals and groups.

Not surprisingly, there have been calls for teachers to be better prepared to contribute to societies that are more diverse (see European Commission, 2017). However, teachers may resist having to learn or change to new practices related to diversity (Gay, 2013). Furthermore, their attitudes can negatively affect how they respond to diversity (Chiner et al., 2015) and these attitudes can affect their behaviours (McLeskey et al., 2001). Related to attitudes are teachers' concerns (Sokal and Sharma, 2014). Forlin et al. (2011) explained the need to identify those concerns about which teachers are most anxious and to subsequently take action to lessen the anxiety. Sharma et al. (2007) observed that "There is hardly any research that has looked at pre-service teachers' concerns about inclusive education" (p. 98), i.e. education with diverse students. This is in spite of the fact that early identification of pre-service teachers' concerns can subsequently be targeted in teacher training and education (Sharma et al., 2007). Sharma et al. were referencing inclusion of individuals with disabilities. In general, there is a lack of such research on diversity in education and what exists "lacks a strong empirical base for its claims, findings, and recommendations" (Grant and Gibson, 2011). In Europe, a similar lack of evidence was observed regarding effective approaches to teacher preparation for diversity (European Commission, 2017). In Australia, Tran (2013) observed the need for research on the professional learning needs of teachers to teach international students and respond to cross-cultural demands and expectations.

It is in light of this gap in the literature that the study reported on in this paper was conducted. This study investigated pre-service teachers' concerns about including diverse learners in their classrooms. The study identified which categories or factors of concerns they ranked highest and lowest and which types of diversity they were most concerned about. The study also investigated whether there were differences in relation to demographic factors. The study was conducted in Thailand. Thailand represents a relevant context in which to investigate teachers' concerns about diversity. Lo Bianco and Slaughter (2016) argued that Thailand has not adopted a multicultural perspective in its official discourse or policy. Instead, it presents itself as linguistically, ethnically and culturally homogenous. This homogeneity, Lo Bianco and Slaughter argued, is part of a "powerful and historically sanctioned national narrative of a centralized and standardized 'Thai-ness' – language, culture, religion and politics" (p. 192). Tantiniranat (2015) identified the theme of unity in

diversity from an analysis of Thai higher-education policies. However, a study of inclusive Concerns about education in Thailand uncovered evidence of a lack of translation of inclusive policies into diversity actual practices due to factors such as limited funding, support services, materials and support personnel (see Sanrattana, 2010). The study's research questions were as follows: in relation to Thai, pre-service teachers' concerns regarding teaching diverse students in their classrooms:

(1a) About which categories (factors) of diversity are pre-service teachers most concerned?

(1b) Are there any significant relationships between these factors on one hand, and demographic variables of gender, year and major on the other?

(2a) About which types of diversity (e.g. race, sexual orientation) are pre-service teachers most concerned?

(2b) Are there any significant relationships between pre-service teachers' concerns about types of diversity, on one hand, and demographic variables of gender, year and major on the other?

Methodology

Context

This study was conducted in Bangkok, Thailand, within a five-year Bachelor of Science in Industrial Education (Engineering Education) teacher-education programme. The programme aims to prepare teachers to teach in secondary or post-secondary vocational schools and colleges or in the private or public industrial sector, as trainers of technicians (e.g. electrical engineers). Students can choose to major in Telecommunications Engineering, Electronics Engineering or Computer Engineering. Coursework relates to education as well as major subject courses.

Participants

All students (N = 468) in the programme year were sent an email invitation. The number of respondents was 343 or 73% of those invited to participate. Table 1 provides a summary of respondents.

Year	Major	Total responded N	Male (%)	Female (%)	
1	No major declared	57	53	47	
2	Telecommunications	36	44	56	
	Electronics	29	41	59	
	Computer	10	80	20	
	Total	75	48	52	
3	Telecommunications	37	38	62	
	Electronics	14	71	29	
	Computer	15	47	53	
	Total	66	47	53	
4	Telecommunications	31	42	58	
	Electronics	21	57	43	
	Computer	23	65	35	
	Total	75	53	47	
5	Telecommunications	28	54	46	
	Electronics	20	40	60	
	Computer	22	45	55	Table
	Total	70	47	53	Summary of surv
	Totals	343	50	50	responden

IARHE Instruments and procedures

The pre-service teachers were contacted using their university email addresses and were invited to complete an online survey in SurveyMonkey (www.surveymonkey.com). The survey was composed of three sections. The first section was designed to gather information regarding demographics and ethics. Part 2 relied on the Concerns about Inclusive Education Scale (CIES) (Sharm et al., 2007) which is based on the original CIES (Sharma and Desai, 2002). The CIES uses a four-point, multichotomous, close ended, Likert-type scale as follows: extremely concerned (4), very concerned (3), a little concerned (2), not at all concerned (1). The scale has 21 items (see Figure 1) and is divided into four factors (categories or clusters of concerns) with a reliability coefficient of 0.91(Sharma et al., 2007). These factors were revised by Sharma et al. (2007) from those derived by Sharma and Desai (2002). The factors are as follows: Factor 1, Lack of resources; Factor 2, Acceptance; Factor 3, Academic standards; Factor 4. Workload. The wording for this study's instrument was adapted slightly from the original to focus on diversity as opposed to merely inclusion of individuals with special needs or disabilities. The Cronbach's alpha of the 21 items was 0.917 and of the 12 items was 0.827. The survey was administered in the Thai language. It was translated using translation-back translation to ensure accuracy.

Part 3 of the survey featured a two-columned table (see Table 2) that provided an overview of diversity types as well as examples. The table was preceded by the statement: "Diverse in this survey means <u>ANY</u> of the following." The survey invited participants to indicate their level of concern regarding 12 types of diversity.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation (SD) and percentages) were calculated using Excel. For further analysis, the researchers created factor scores. The higher the mean factor score, the greater the concern. A mean of < 2 suggests a low degree of concern whereas a mean of 2.25 would represent a moderate degree of concern (Sharma *et al.*, 2009). Scores from 2.5 to 3 would be high whereas those from 3 to 4 indicate very high to extreme levels of concern, respectively. ANOVA was used to identify differences in the mean level of concerns in relation to research questions 1b and 2b as follows: Are there any significant relationships between pre-service teachers' concerns, on one hand, and demographic variables of gender, year and major on the other? Are there any significant relationships between pre-service teachers' concerns about types of diversity, on one hand, and demographic variables of gender, year and major on the other?

Results

Research question 1a asked which categories (factors) of concerns regarding inclusion of diverse students in their future classrooms do Thai, pre-service industrial education teachers rank the highest and lowest? Figure 1 presents the results of this question. The highest ranked items were related to lack of resources. For example, the pre-service teachers expressed the highest concern about the adequacy of instructional materials and teaching aids that they would have with their diverse students. The lowest ranked items related to academic standards. Table 3 shows the averages of the items and factors. The lowest level of concern was with academic standards. The highest ranked was year 4, factor 1 (lack of resources), with a mean of 2.43 (i.e. between somewhat concerned and very concerned.) Figure 1 shows the scale's items along with the percentages corresponding to respondents' concerns.

Research question 1b sought to identify any significant relationships between pre-service teachers' concerns on one hand, and demographic variables of gender, year and major on the



My school will not have adequate instructional materials and teaching aids (e.g., Braille) for diverse students I will not have enough time to plan educational programs for diverse students There will be inadequate resources/special teacher staff available to support inclusion of diverse students There will be inadequate para-professional support staff (e.g., speech pathologist) available to help diverse students My school will have difficulty accommodating diverse students because of inappropriate facilities or equipment I do not have knowledge and skills required to teach diverse students It will be difficult to maintain discipline in class Diverse students will not be accepted by regular students There will be inadequate administrative support to include diverse students The inclusion of diverse students in my class will lead to a higher degree of anxiety and stress in me The overall academic standard of the school will suffer if we include diverse students I will have to do additional paper work if I have diverse students in my class It will be difficult to give equal attention to all students in a classroom with diverse students The academic achievement of regular students will be affected if there are diverse students in their classes I will not receive enough incentives (e.g., additional remuneration or allowance) to teach diverse students My work load will increase if I have diverse students I will not be able to cope with diverse students who do not have adequate self-care skills Other school staff members will be stressed by having diverse students My school will not have enough money for including diverse students successfully Family of regular students may not like the idea of their family member in a class with diverse students My performance as a teacher will decline if I have diverse students in my classes

Figure 1. Concerns ranked high to low (N = 343)

JARHE

other. Analysis revealed a significant difference for gender with females (p = 0.014) having a significantly higher level of concern regarding lack of resources. No other statistically significant differences were identified.

Research question 2a investigated which types of diversity (e.g. race, sexual orientation) pre-service teachers were most concerned about. The highest ranked item (58%) was a mental health disability. Physical and learning disabilities also ranked highly as did language with 41% expressing concern for the latter. Religion, ethnicity, sexual orientation, age, race and gender were the areas about which the pre-service teachers expressed the least concern, 13% (a little or not at all). Figure 2 shows the range from 6% to 58% concerned.

Research question 2b focussed on identification of any significant relationships between pre-service teachers' concerns about types of diversity, on one hand, and demographic variables of gender, year and major on the other. Analysis revealed no significant differences.

Discussion

The aim of this first part of the investigation was to identify which factors ranked highest in terms of concerns – i.e. around which categories did the concerns cluster? Lack of resources ranked highest amongst pre-service teachers' concerns about including diverse students in their classroom. This factor was also the highest in the study by Sharma et al. (2007) of pre-service teachers' concerns about inclusion of students with disabilities into regular schools. Sharma *et al.* found that lack of resources "emerged as the most highly ranked concern factor for participants from all four countries" (Australia, Hong Kong, Singapore and Canada) represented in their study. The study by Sharma et al. (2009) with pre-service teachers in India also revealed a concern about lack of resources. However, their study was limited to inclusion based on disability only. Academic standards (Factor 3) were the lowest ranked. Similarly, Sharma et al.'s (2007) comparison of results from pre-service teachers from the same four countries revealed that standards represented only a minor concern for preservice teachers in all respondents from these countries except Singapore.

Research question 1b sought to identify significant relationships between pre-service teachers' concerns, on one hand, and demographic variables of gender, year and major on the other. The only statistically significant difference identified was for gender. Females reported a higher level of concern than males regarding lack of resources needed to meet the needs of diverse learners in their classrooms. A study using the CIES scale with primary teachers in India also identified a statistically higher level of concern for females regarding inclusion (see Shah et al., 2013). Kamp et al. (2017) found in their Australian study that females supported cultural diversity more than males did. In a Canadian study of pre-service teachers'

		Type of diversity	Examples
	1	Gender	Female/transgender
	2	Cultural origin	European, Middle Eastern
	3	Religion	Catholic, Muslim, Buddhist
	4	Physical disability	Needing a prothesis
	5	Mental health disability	Depression/anxiety
	6	Socio-economic class	Low or high income
	7	Ethnic origin	Hmong, Rohingya
	8	Race	Caucasian, Black
Table 2.	9	Age	Seniors, younger than usual
Part 3 of survey:	10	Learning disability	Reading difficulties/dyslexia
Examples of types of	11	Language	Thai is not first language
diversity	12	Sexual orientation	Prefers same sex

Factors	Variable		Ν	Mean (SD)	F	Þ	Concerns about diversity
1	Gender	Male	170	2.24 (0.63)	6.141	0.014*	urversity
		Female	173	2.40 (0.56)			
	Major	Tele	132	2.29 (0.62)	0.185	0.906	
	·	Electronics	84	2.35 (0.60)			
		Computers	70	2.34 (0.62)			
	Year	1	57	2.33 (0.58)	1.299	0.270	
		2	75	2.22 (0.53)			
		3	66	2.28 (0.60)			
		4	75	2.43 (0.62)			
		5	70	2.35 (0.65)			
	Average			2.32			
2	Gender	Male	170	2.19 (0.54)	0.852	0.357	
-	oonaan	Female	173	2.25 (0.52)	0.002	0.001	
	Major	Tele	132	2.22 (0.55)	1.242	0.294	
	major	Electronics	84	2.22 (0.49)	1.212	0.201	
		Computers	70	2.14(0.59)			
	Year	1	57	2.32 (0.44)	1.803	0.128	
	1 cai	2	75	2.17 (0.49)	1.005	0.120	
		3	66	2.10 (0.53)			
		4	75	2.27 (0.53)			
		5	70	2.26 (0.61)			
	Average	5	70	2.20 (0.01)			
3	Gender	Male	170	2.08 (0.59)	0.058	0.810	
5	Gender	Female	170	2.06 (0.53)	0.050	0.010	
	Major	Tele	173	()	1.370	0.252	
	IVIAJOI	Electronics	132 84	2.09 (0.52) 2.01 (0.58)	1.370	0.252	
		Computers	84 70	2.01 (0.58) 2.00 (0.67)			
	Year	*1	70 57	()	1.577	0.180	
	rear			2.18 (0.51)	1.377	0.180	
		2 3	75 66	2.07 (0.52)			
			66	1.93 (0.58)			
		4	75	2.08 (0.57)			
	4	5	70	2.10 (0.63)			
4	Average	N 1	170	2.06	1 017	0.01.4	
	Gender	Male	170	2.13 (0.61)	1.017	0.314	
		Female	173	2.07 (0.59)	0.100	0.001	
	Major	Tele	132	2.10 (0.63)	0.189	0.904	
		Electronics	84	2.10 (0.56)			
		Computers	70	2.06 (0.65)			
	Year	1	57	2.14 (0.53)	0.452	0.771	
		2	75	2.08 (0.54)			
		3	66	2.05 (0.68)			
		4	75	2.16 (0.59)			Table 3.
		5	70	2.07 (0.66)			Demographic variables
	Average			2.09			in relation to each
Note(s) */	< 0.05, year $1 = 1$	no major declared, T	ele. = Teleco	ommunications			factor

perceptions of management of behaviours in inclusive classrooms, Brackenreed and Barnett (2006) found that females relied on coping strategies to increase direct support whereas males initiated strategies that decreased direct support. These studies were focussed solely on students with disabilities as opposed to diversity more generally.

In relation to research question 2a about which types of diversity (e.g. race, sexual orientation) pre-service teachers were most concerned, mental health disabilities ranked highest at 58%. In fact, only 10% of respondents indicated that they were not at all concerned about inclusion of students with mental health disabilities in their classrooms.



Physical and learning disabilities represented types of diversity about which pre-service teachers were either extremely or very concerned (36 and 35%, respectively). These results may be explained by Klibthong's (2013) observation regarding Thai culture that negative attitudes regarding disabilities result from traditional religious beliefs in karma, i.e. punishments for behaviours in past lives. Language (linguistic diversity) also ranked high (41%) as a concern. Much of the literature on linguistic diversity and teachers' concerns tends to be framed within a context of English as a foreign language or in a broader context of internationalisation and cultural diversity (see Hattingh *et al.*, 2017). The high level of concern regarding language points to the need for research on how pre-service teachers can be prepared to teach in classrooms where the students not only speak a different language than the teacher and other students but also a language other than English, e.g. Khmer, Burmese or Vietnamese.

Socio-economic class and cultural origin were ranked as a concern by more than onequarter of participants. Similarly, in a study of 141 pre-service teachers in Turkey "three out of every four" revealed that their education did not prepare them to teach in a culturally diverse classroom (see Uyar, 2016). Concerns about race, religion, gender, age, ethnicity and sexual orientation were the lowest ranked, i.e. students were primarily either a little concerned or not concerned at all. Regarding mental health disabilities, as Mazzer and Rickwood (2015) observed, "little is known about the views of teachers regarding their role in supporting student mental health and how well-equipped they feel to fulfil it" (p. 29). Mazzer and Rickwood explained that teachers are actually well positioned to identify and support mental health issues but, in their study, they found that Australian teachers reported both a lack of knowledge and of skills in supporting students' mental health. Similarly, Kratt (2018) found that, in spite of the prevalence of mental health disorders, teachers lacked training in this area.

Research question 2b aimed to identify any significant relationships between pre-service teachers' concerns about types of diversity, on one hand, and demographic variables of gender, year and major. No statistically significant differences were identified. The absence of difference suggests that pre-service teachers' concerns about inclusion of diverse students relate more to type of diversity than they do to personal or other demographic variables. This hypothesis could be tested in further studies with other demographic variables. It could also be tested in other geographic contexts to determine if concerns about mental health disabilities rank highest amongst concerns regardless of the country context. With regards to

mental health only. Whitley and Gooderham (2016) in Canada found that the 186 pre-service Concerns about teachers expressed the most concerns combined with the least knowledge regarding students with depression. The authors argued that teachers need tools and knowledge to act and intervene when there is a mental health issue particularly because these issues can interfere with learning.

Conclusions and implications

In terms of limitations, the use of self-report measures particularly regarding types of diversity should be considered with some caution because individuals may have given responses that reflect a desire for social acceptability rather than their actual feelings (see Podsakoff *et al.* 2003). The study relied solely on quantitative approaches to data collection. Future studies might include qualitative data gathered through interviews or observations. The fact that this study was conducted in only one country may limit its external validity. The onus is on the readers to generalise to their contexts. Issues pertaining to cultural, linguistic, religious and ethnic diversity may vary depending on the country in which data are being gathered.

In relation to implications, examples of approaches to preparing teachers for diversity include providing opportunities to appreciate how their own lives have been influenced by aspects of diversity including culture, race, language and socio-economic class (see Haddix, 2008). Study-abroad programmes also represent an opportunity for students to experience linguistic and cultural diversity (Gordon, 2015). Thomas et al. (2010) recommended that education designed to promote diversity should not be centred on "diversity as difference" which may "reinforce a view of minority groups as the 'other' rather than appreciating these groups as part of a larger us" (p. 296). Thomas et al. added that a focus on differences may lead to a backlash whereby the majority is perceived or portrayed as normal and the minority as the "other". Minority group members participating with others in diversity sessions or training are likely to feel further marginalised in situations that draw attention to their uniqueness (Thomas et al.). Likewise, training and education about diversity need to avoid a focus on specific cultural groups thereby denying the diversity that may actually exist in those groups. Thomas et al. recommended that training and education be structured, not around "isms" such as racism, sexism, etc. but around themes or topics such as "stereotyping" or "privilege" that are relevant to both minority and majority groups. Awareness of cultural diversity can be promoted through activities such as giving pre-service teachers opportunities to study their own cultural identity (Brown, 2004). Regarding socio-economic differences, Sharma et al. (2006) described how pre-service teacher education programmes in Hong Kong were mandated to provide diversity training including for socio-economic differences but that many teachers expressed concerns regarding their ability to teach in inclusive contexts. Mergler et al. (2017) argued that teaching students from different cultures and socio-economic backgrounds requires an approach to teaching underpinned by a philosophy of acceptance and by respect.

References

Aleander, I. (2011). Leading Inclusion: A Guide to Good Practice in Leadership of Equality. Diversity and Inclusion in the Learning and Skills Sector, Principal Learning, Nottingham.

Aragon, D. and Hoskins, C. (2017), "Evolving institutional diversity by incorporating disability", Diversity and Democracy, Vol. 20 No. 4, available at: https://www.aacu.org/diversitydemocracy/ 2017/fall/aragon.

Barron, L. and Gauntlett, E. (2002), Model of Social Sustainability: Housing and SustainableCommunities Indicators Project, Western Australian Council of Social Service, Perth.

diversity

- Bartolo, P. and Smyth, G. (2009), "Teacher education for diversity", in Swennen, A. and van der Klink, M. (Ed.), *Becoming a Teacher Educator*, Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 117-132, doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-8874-29.
 - Brackenreed, D. and Barnett, J. (2006), "Teacher stress and inclusion: perceptions of pre-service teachers", *Developmental Disabilities Bulletin*, Vol. 34 Nos 1-2, pp. 156-176, available at: https:// files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ815716.pdf.
 - Brown, E. (2004), "What precipitates change in cultural diversity awareness during a multicultural course: the message or the method?", *Journal of Teacher Education*, Vol. 55 No. 5, pp. 325-340, doi: 10.1177/0022487104266746.
 - Burner, T., Nodeland, T. and Aamaas, A. (2018), "Critical perspectives on perceptionsand practices of diversity in Education", *Nordic Journal of Comparative and International Education*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 3-15, doi: 10.7577/njcie.2188.
 - Chiner, E., Cardona, M.C. and Gómez, J.M. (2015), "Teacher's beliefs about diversity:an analysis from a personal and professional perspective", *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 18-23, doi: 10.7821/naer.2015.1.113.
 - European Commission (2017), Preparing Teachers for Diversity: The Role of Initial Teachereducation, Final Report, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, available at: http://www. readyproject.eu/uploads/files/1502579119PreparingTeachersforDiversity.pdf.
 - Forlin, C., Earle, C., Loreman, T. and Sharma, U. (2011), "The sentiments, attitudes, and concerns about inclusive education revised (SACIE-R) Scale for measuring pre-service teachers' perceptions about inclusion", *Exceptionality Education International*, Vol. 21, pp. 50-65, available at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/eei/vol21/iss3/5.
 - Gale, N. and Ward, N. (2018), LGBTQ—Inclusivity in the Higher Education Curriculum: A Best Practice Guide, University of Birmingham, Birmingham.
 - Gay, G. (2013), "Teaching to and through cultural diversity", *Curriculum Inquiry*, Vol. 43 No. 1, pp. 48-70.
 - Gedžūne, G. (2015), "Awakening pre-service teachers to children's social exclusion in the classroom", Discourse and Communication for Sustainable Education, Vol. 6 No. 1, doi: 10.1515/dcse-2015-0007.
 - Gordon, A. (2015), "Eleven steps to diversifying study abroad", *Insight into Diversity*, available at: http://www.insightintodiversity.com/11-steps-to-diversifying-study-abroad/.
 - Grant, C. and Gibson, M. (2011), "Diversity and teacher education: historical perspective on research and policy", in Tyson, A. and Ball, C. (Eds), *Studying Diversity in Teacher Education*, Rowman and Littlefield, Lanham, MD.
 - Haddix, M. (2008), "Beyond sociolinguistics: towards a criticalapproach to cultural and linguistic diversity in teachereducation", *Language and Education*, Vol. 22 No. 5, pp. 254-270, doi: 10.1080/ 09500780802152648.
 - Haring-Smith, T. (2012), "Broadening our definition of diversity", *Liberal Education*, Vol. 98 No. 2, pp. 6-13.
 - Hattingh, S., Kettle, M. and Brownlee, J. (2017), "Internationalising a school: teachers' perspectives on pedagogy, curriculum, and inclusion", *TESOL in Context*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 45-62, available at: https://ojs.deakin.edu.au/index.php/tesol/article/view/704.
 - Hollander, R., Amekudzi-Kennedy, A., Bell, S., Benya, F., Davidson, C. and Farkos, C. (2016), "Network priorities for socialsustainability research and education: memorandum of the integrated network on social sustainability research group", *Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 16-21, doi: 10.1080/15487733.2016.11908150.
 - Kamp, A., Alam, O., Blair, K. and Dunn, K. (2017), "Australians' views on cultural diversity, nation and migration, 2015-16", Cosmopolitan Civil Societies: An Interdisciplinary Journal, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 61-84, doi: 10.5130/ccs.v9i3.5635.

JARHE

- Klibthong, S. (2013), "Exploring Thai early childhood teachers' understanding, beliefs and concerns of inclusive education: a case study of an early childhood centre", *MIER Journal of Educational Studies, Trends and Practices*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 16-32, available at: http://mierjs.in/ojs/index.php/mjestp/article/viewFile/43/42.
- Konur, O. (2006), "Teaching disabled students in higher education", *Teaching in Higher Education*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 351-363, doi: 10.1080/13562510600680871.
- Kratt, D. (2018), "Teachers' perspectives on educator mental health competencies: a qualitative case study", American Journal of Qualitative Research, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 22-40, available at: http:// www.ajqr.org/.
- Lau, W., Lam, T., Ho, W. and Wu, W. (2018), "Remaking the physical disability inclusion score (PDIS) and the visual impairment inclusion score (VIIS) to assess the disability inclusiveness of commercial facilities: a pilot study", in Chau, K., Chan, I., Lu, W. and Webster, C. (Eds), *Proceedings of the 21st International Symposium on Advancement of Construction Management* and Real Estate, Springer, Singapore.
- Liu, D. and Nelson, R. (2017), "Diversity in the classroom", in Liontas, J. (Ed.), TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching, Wiley-Blackwell, New Jersey, NY, pp. 585-590, doi: 10.1002/ 9781118784235.eelt0224.
- Lo Bianco, J. (2016), "Learning from difference", in Lo Bianco, J. and Bal, A. (Eds), *Learning from Difference: Comparative Accounts of Multicultural Education*, Springer, International Publishing, Cham. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-26880-4_11.
- Lo Bianco, J. and Slaughter, Y. (2016), "Recognizing diversity: the incipient role of intercultural education in Thailand", in Lo Bianco, J. and Bal, A. (Eds), *Learning from Difference: Comparative Accounts of Multicultural Education*, Springer, International Publishing, Cham, pp. 191-219, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-26880-4_11.
- Mandolini, C. (2007), "Conditions, processes, and aims of teacher education: a philosophical perspective", *Journal of Teacher Education for Sustainability*, Vol. 7, pp. 5-13, doi: 10.2478/ v10099-009-0001-x.
- Maruyama, G., Moreno, J., Gudeman, R. and Marin, P. (2000), Does Diversity Make a Difference? Three Research Studies on Diversity in College Classrooms, American Council on Education, WA, DC.
- Mazzer, K. and Rickwood, D. (2015), "Teachers' role, breadth and perceived efficacy in supporting student mental health", Advances in School Mental Health Promotion, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 29-41, doi: 10.1080/1754730X.2014.978119.
- McLeskey, J., Waldron, N., So, T., Swanson, K. and Loveland, T. (2001), "Perspectives of teachers toward inclusive school programs", *Teacher Educationand Special Education*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 108-115, doi: 10.1177/088840640102400205.
- Mergler, A., Carrington, S., Boman, P., Kimber, M. and Bland, D. (2017), "Exploring the value of service-learning on pre-service teachers", *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, Vol. 42 No. 6, pp. 69-80, doi: 10.14221/ajte.2017v42n6.5.
- Murphy, K. (2012), "The social pillar of sustainable development: a literaturereview and framework for policy analysis", *Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy*, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 15-29, doi: 10.1080/ 15487733.2012.11908081.
- Peixoto, A., González-González, C.S., Strachan, R., Plaza, P., Martínez, M.D., Blázquez, M. and Castro, M. (2018), "Diversity and inclusion in engineering education: looking through the gender question", *IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*, Tenerife, 2018, pp. 2071-2075, doi: 10.1109/EDUCON.2018.8363494.
- Podsakoff, P., MacKenzie, S., Lee, J. and Podsakoff, N. (2003), "Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 88 No. 5, pp. 879-903, doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879.

Roberson, Q. (2004), Disentangling the Meanings of Diversity and Inclusion, Working Paper #04-05, Cornell University, School of Industrial and Labor Relations, Center for Advanced Human Resource Studies CAHRS, Ithaca, NY, available at: http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/ cahrswp/12.

JARHE

- Sanrattana, U. (2010), "An implementation of inclusive education", *International Journal of Education*, Vol. 33 No. 2, pp. 80-85.
- Shah, R., Das, A., Desai, I. and Tiwari, A. (2013), "Teachers' concerns about inclusive education in Ahmedabad, India", *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*. doi: 10.1111/1471-3802.12054.
- Sharma, U. and Desai, I. (2002), "Measuring concerns about integrated educationin India", Asia and Pacific Journal on Disability, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 2-14, available at: http://www.dinf.ne.jp/doc/ english/asia/resource/z00ap/vol5no1/measure.html.
- Sharma, U., Forlin, C., Loreman, T. and Earle, C. (2006), "Pre-service teachers' attitudes, concerns and sentiments about inclusive education: an international comparison of the novice pre-service teachers", *International Journal of Special Education*, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 80-93, available at: https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ843609.pdf.
- Sharma, U., Forlin, C. and Loreman, T. (2007), "What concerns pre-service teachers about inclusive education: an international viewpoint?", *KEDI Journal of Educational Policy*, p. 17394341, available at: http://eng.kedi.re.kr.
- Sharma, U., Moore, D. and Sonawane, S. (2009), "Attitudes and concerns of novice teachers regarding inclusion of students with disabilities into regular schools in Pune, India", *The Asia Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, Vol. 37, pp. 319-31, doi: 10.1080/13598660903050328.
- Sokal, L. and Sharma, U. (2014), "Canadian in-service teachers' concerns, efficacy, and attitudes about inclusive teaching", *Exceptionality Education International*, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 59-71.
- Tantiniranat, S. (2015), "Some intercultural implications of ASEAN and Thai educational policies for Thai higher education", *Cambridge Open-Review Educational Research e-Journal*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 154-165.
- Thomas, K., Tran, N. and Dawson, B. (2010), "An inclusive strategy of teaching diversity", Advances in Developing Human Resources, Vol. 12 No. 3, pp. 295-311, doi: 10.1177/1523422310375035.
- Tilbury, D. and Mulà, I. (2009), *Review of Education for Sustainable Development Policies from a Cultural Diversity and Intercultural Dialogue: Gaps and Opportunities for Future Action*, UNESCO, Paris, available at: https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000211750.
- Tran, L. (2013), "Internationalisation of vocational education and training", Journal of Studies in International Education, Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 492-507, doi: 10.1177/1028.
- Tran, L., Patton, J. and Brohammer, M. (2018), "Preparing educators for developing culturally and linguistically responsive IEPs", *Teacher Education and Special Education*, Vol. 41 No. 3, pp. 229-242, doi: 10.1177/088406418772079.
- UNESCO (2004), Changing Teaching Practices: Using Curriculum Differentiation Torespond to Students' Diversity, UNESCO, Paris, available at: https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/ pf0000136583.
- UNESCO (2006), UNESCO Guidelines for Intercultural Education, UNESCO, Paris, available at: https:// unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000147878.
- UNESCO (2017), Sustainable Development Goal 4 and its Targets, UNESCO, Paris, available at: https:// en.unesco.org/education2030-sdg4/targets.
- Uyar, Y. (2016), "Are we really ready to accommodate cultural diversity in our language classes?", *Journal of Education and Practice*, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 184-193, available at: https://eric.ed.gov/? id=EJ1099491.
- Whitley, J. and Gooderham, S. (2016), "Exploring mental health literacy among pre-service teachers", *Exceptionality Education International*, Vol. 26, pp. 62-92, available at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/eei/ vol26/iss2/5.

About the authors

Dr Wisuit Sunthonkanokpong is Associate Professor and Chairman of the Electrical Communications Engineering (M.S. Industrial Education) programme at King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang (KMITL), Bangkok, Thailand. He also lectures in Engineering Education. He completed his PhD in competence development in the electronics industry in Thailand. His research interests include innovation in engineering education and electrical communications engineering education.

Dr Elizabeth Murphy is a retired professor from the Faculty of Education, Memorial University of Newfoundland, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, where she was the 2007–2008 winner of the President's Award for Outstanding Research. Elizabeth Murphy is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: emurphy@mun.ca

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: **www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm** Or contact us for further details: **permissions@emeraldinsight.com**

Concerns about diversity